## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,114	08/24/2004	Christopher S. Jochumson	22207-010120	5113
51111 AKA CHAN I	7590 06/27/2007 .L.P		EXAMINER  LERNER, MARTIN	
900 LAFAYE				
SUITE 710 SANTA CLAI	RA, CA 95050		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2626	
	•		•	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-INBOX@AKACHANLAW.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>-</u>				
Office Action Summers	10/711,114	JOCHUMSON, C	JOCHUMSON, CHRISTOPHER S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Martin Lerner	2626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN  36(a). In no event, however, may a  vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC  cause the application to become	IICATION.  The reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this (ABANDONED, (35 U.S.C. & 133))	•				
Status	•		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ju	ne 2007.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	•	tters prosecution as to the	e merite ie				
closed in accordance with the practice under E			e ments is				
Disposition of Claims	The state of the s						
4)  Claim(s) <u>1 to 3, 5 to 6, and 8 to 28</u> is/are pendir							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 to 3, 5 to 6, and 8 to 28</u> are subject t	to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
		· ·	10-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in a large ty documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application					

Art Unit: 2626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 9 and 10, drawn to a system supporting speech recognition comprising two or more clients and a server, having a capability of storing audio speech in one or more buffers organized in a linked list in a raw uncompressed audio format at the client, wherein a level of processing used in the evaluation of resultant raw speech received from each of the at least two clients is alterable based on a value communicated between the clients and the server, classified in class 704, subclass 270.1.
  - II. Claims 11 to 21, drawn to a system supporting speech recognition comprising two or more clients and a server, having a capability of storing audio speech in a first set of one or more buffers in a raw uncompressed audio format at the client, and writing the stored audio speech from a first buffer in the first set of buffers to a second buffer in a second set of one or more buffers, classified in class 711, subclass 100.
- 2. Independent claim 1 links Inventions I and II. Claims 1 to 3, 5 to 6, 8, and 22 to 28 will be examined upon election of either Invention I or Invention II.

The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is **subject to** the nonallowance of the linking claim, independent claim 1. Upon the indication of

Application/Control Number: 10/711,114

Art Unit: 2626

allowability of the linking claim, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claims depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, the allowable linking claim, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, Invention I has separate utility such as for a distributed processing architecture that employs dynamic allocation of resources between a client and a server. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

4. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, Invention II has separate utility such as for a client computer having a capability of managing data transfer between a plurality of buffer memories. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where Applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claims depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their

Application/Control Number: 10/711,114

Art Unit: 2626

recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin Lerner whose telephone number is (571) 272-7608. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Hudspeth can be reached on (571) 272-7843. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2626

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ML 6/20/07

Martin Lerner

**Examiner** 

Group Art Unit 2626